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Introduction
Most knowledge on the Web is encoded as natural language text, which is convenient for human users but very difficult for software agents to understand. Even with increased use of XML-encoded information, software agents still need to process the tags and literal symbols using application dependent semantics. The Semantic Web offers an approach in which knowledge can be published by and shared among agents using symbols with a well defined, machine-interpretable semantics.

The Semantic Web is a “web of data” in that (i) both ontologies and instance data are published in a distributed fashion; (ii) symbols are either ‘literals’ or universally addressable ‘resources’ (URI references) each of which comes with unique semantics; and (iii) information is semi-structured. The Friend-of-a-Friend (FOAF) project (http://www.foaf-project.org/) is a good application of the Semantic Web in which users publish their personal profiles by instantiating the foaf:Person class and adding various properties drawn from any number of ontologies.

The Semantic Web’s distributed nature raises significant data access problems – how can an agent discover, index, search and navigate knowledge on the Semantic Web? Swoogle (Ding et al. 2004) was developed to facilitate web-scale semantic web data access by providing these services to both human and software agents. It supports on two levels of knowledge granularity: URI based semantic web vocabulary and semantic web documents (SWDs), i.e., RDF and OWL documents encoded in XML, NTriples or N3.

Figure 1 shows Swoogle’s architecture. The discovery component automatically discovers and revisits SWDs using a set of integrated web crawlers. The digest component computes metadata for SWDs and semantic web terms (SWTs) as well as identifies relations among them, e.g., “an SWD instantiates an SWT class”, and “an SWT class is the domain of an SWT property”. The analysis component uses cached SWDs and their metadata to derive analytical reports, such as discovering ontologies among SWDs and ranking SWDs by their importance. The service component supports both human and software agents through conventional web interfaces and SOAP-based web service APIs. Two key services are (i) a swoogle search service that searches for SWDs by constraints on their URLs, the sites which host them, and the classes/properties used or defined by them and (ii) an ontology dictionary service that searches for SWTs and their relationships with other SWTs and SWDs.

Figure 1: Swoogle has four components that discover, digest, analyze and serve semantic web data.

Discovering Semantic Web Documents
The size of the Semantic Web is measured by the number of discovered SWDs. (Eberhart 2002) reported finding 1,479 SWDs with about 255K triples out of nearly 3M web pages. As of May 2005, Swoogle has found over 368K SWDs with more than 70M triples. Although this number is far less than Google’s eight billion web pages, it represents a non-trivial collection of semantic web data (Guo, Pan, & Heflin 2004).

The Semantic Web’s content can be divided into two categories – program generated instance data and (mostly) hand crafted ontologies. The first category is the larger and includes FOAF personal profiles, RSS news feeds, RDF metadata embedded in PDF files, Dublin Core digital library metadata, Creative Commons’ copyright statements, and assertions extracted from structured data sources such as WordNet and the CIA fact book. While some ontologies have been derived from structured sources, most appear to be designed by semantic web researchers. Although these ontology documents are far outnumbered by instance data documents, they are critically important since they convey symbolic semantics.
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Navigating and Ranking SWDs and SWTs

Since semantic web data is highly distributed, facilitating data access and assessing data quality (Wang, Storey, & Firth 1995) are challenging. For example, how can users find relevant domain ontologies and then choose a popular and trustworthy one for use? To this end, we start with modeling navigational paths in the Semantic Web and then ranking the importance of objects in the Semantic Web.

Swoogle’s services provide agents with the semantic web search and navigation framework modeled in figure 2. This model is defined by the links and paths within the Semantic Web and differs from conventional web navigation model in that it considers the interactions between two levels of abstraction: the RDF graph and the web of SWDs.

![Diagram of Semantic Web model](image)

Figure 2: Agents access the Semantic Web using document/term search and navigate within it via three kinds of paths: inter-resource paths (1) enhance links between SWTs in RDF graph by additionally linking SWTs sharing a namespace or “local name”; resource-document paths (2,3,4,5) provide provenance (usage or definition) links between SWTs and SWDs; and inter-document paths (6,7) manifest explicit links between SWDs.

Our model gives rise to semantic web ranking metrics that differ from those used in web ranking (e.g., PageRank, HITS), which used only hyperlinks among web pages, and other semantic-aware ranking methods (Patel et al. 2003), which use a small set of document level semantic relations.

OntoRank is grounded on the rational surfer model, which is loosely derived from the random surfer model (Page et al. 1998). An agent navigates from one SWD to another with a constant probability or jumps to a random SWD. The surfing agent is also rational in that it jumps non-transitively to another with a constant probability or jumps to a random SWD.

Intuitively, OntoRank estimates the probability of a rational surfer as ontology. OntoRank estimates the probability of a rational surfer visiting an SWD with the bias that ontologies are more preferred to instance data. In equation 1, let \( wPR(a) \) be a weighted PageRank variation, \( f(a, b) \) be the sum of tag weight from SWD \( a \) to SWD \( b \), \( d \) be a constant between 0 and 1, \( link(a, l, b) \) be the semantic link from SWD \( a \) to SWD \( b \) using semantic tag \( l \), weight(l) be user’s preference of choosing semantic links with tag \( l \); \( OTC(a) \) be a set of SWDs that (transitively) import \( a \) as ontology.

\[
\begin{align*}
OntoRank(a) &= wPR(a) + \sum_{x \in OTC(a)} wPR(x) \\
wPR(a) &= (1 - d) + d \sum_{x \in OTC(a)} \frac{\sum_{y \in link(x, y, a)} f(x, y)}{\sum_{y \in link(x, y, a)}} \quad (1) \\
f(a, b) &= \sum_{\text{link}(a, l, b)} \text{weight}(l)
\end{align*}
\]

TermRank ranks the SWTs found on the Semantic Web and is defined by equation 2. Intuitively, we divide the rank of an SWD among the SWTs it uses. Given a term \( T \) and an SWD \( d \), \( TWeight(t, d) \) is computed from \( cnt\_uses(d, t) \), which reflects how many times \( d \) uses \( t \) and \( |\{d\_uses(d, t)\}| \), which shows how many discovered SWDs use \( t \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TermRank}(t) &= \sum_{\text{uses}(d, t)} \frac{\text{OntoRank}(d) \times \text{TWeight}(d, t)}{\sum_{\text{uses}(d, x)} \text{TWeight}(d, x)} \\
\text{TWeight}(d, t) &= cnt\_uses(d, t) \times |\{d\_uses(d, t)\}|
\end{align*}
\]

Conclusion

Swoogle is an implemented system that discovers, analyzes and indexes knowledge encoded in semantic web documents on the Web. Swoogle reasons about these documents and their constituent parts (e.g., terms and triples) and records meaningful metadata about them. Swoogle provides web-scale semantic web data access service, which helps human users and software systems to find relevant documents, terms and triples, via its search and navigation services. Swoogle also provides a customizable algorithm inspired by Google’s PageRank algorithm but adapted to the semantics and use patterns found in semantic web documents.
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