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Abstract: In this paper, we propose an empirical likelihood (EL) based strategy for building confidence intervals for differences between two contrasting groups. The proposed method can deal with the situations when we know little prior knowledge about the two groups, which are referred to as non-parametric situations. We experimentally evaluate our method on UCI datasets and observe that proposed EL based method outperforms other methods.

Introduction

Mining the differences between contrasting groups is an important and challenging task in many real world applications such as medical research, social network analysis and link discovery (Bay 99,01 and Webb 03). For example, finding out differences that can distinguish spam from non-spam emails or the benign breast cancer from the malign one will benefit to people, because researchers or companies can utilize this information to design powerful anti-spam software or new medicine for curing breast cancer. Yet another important issue that has received less attention is to measure the differences between groups. For many applications, the data obtained are sampled from a population, thus the knowledge mined out and hypotheses derived from these data are probabilistic in nature and such uncertainty has to be measured (Adibi 2004). That is, when the difference is obtained, the important thing would be that one might want to know how reliable the answer is.

From the statistical perspective, the mean and distribution function (DF) are very important for characterizing a group of data, and one will almost have a full understanding of the data if he knows the mean and distribution function exactly (we refer to the differences of mean and DF as structural differences). Thus, people usually are interested in finding what are the differences for mean or DF of two data groups, say X and Y, because this information is useful for decision-makers to make decisions or predictions. Mathematically, for the mean difference Δ between groups X and Y, one can use the equation Δ = \( \bar{E}(Y) - \bar{E}(X) \) to calculate it, where \( \bar{E}(Y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j \) and \( \bar{E}(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \)

are the mean of Y and X respectively. As for the distribution function difference Δ between X and Y, one can use the equation Δ = \( \hat{G}_Y(\alpha) - \hat{F}_X(\alpha) \), where \( \hat{G}_Y \) and \( \hat{F}_X \) are the distribution functions of Y and X respectively; \( \alpha \) is a reference point for comparing the DF of X and Y and it is a constant given by the user. Generally, the exact form of the DF is difficult to obtain, so the empirical form is adopted in practice, i.e.,

\[
\hat{G}_Y(\alpha) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} I(y_j \leq \alpha), \quad \hat{F}_X(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(x_i \leq \alpha)
\]

Where \( I(.) \) is an indicator function, and \( I(X<a) = 1 \) if \( X<a \), otherwise \( I(X<a) = 0 \). This is called the non-parametric model. If we know the exact form of the DF of \( G_Y \) (or \( F_X \)) in advance, we then call this semi-parametric model.

Building Confidence Intervals

Researchers have been used the bootstrap method to construct confidence intervals (CI) in link discovery (Adibi, Cohen, & Morrison 2004). Superior to the bootstrap method, the empirical likelihood (EL) method has many valuable features in practice and is popular in statistics and other fields (Owen 01).

In our previous work (Huang 2006) we have proposed a model that adopted the EL method to deal with the problem of measuring the differences of two contrasting groups under semi-parametric assumption. The model assumes that the distribution function of one of the two contrasting groups is known in advance, thus this information can be utilized in constructing confidence intervals. A more accurate result will be obtained if the assumption is approximately in accordance with the data. But in many real world applications, people have little priori knowledge about the data, and they can’t specify the exact form of the DF of the data in the model. Generally, a misspecified modal may produce inaccurate or misleading results. Aiming to solve this problem, in this paper we improve the model based on our previous work, which can deal with the situation that the distribution function of the data can’t be obtained in advance, i.e., the two data groups are non-parametric.

Similar to our previous work, we first formulate the mean and DF differences of two contrasting groups as mentioned in introduction, that is, Δ = \( \bar{E}(Y) - \bar{E}(X) \) and Δ = \( \hat{G}_Y(\alpha) - \hat{F}_X(\alpha) \) respectively. We define the empirical likelihood function for the two contrasting groups as

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{m} p_j \prod_{j=1}^{n} q_j
\]
where
\[ p_i > 0, i = 1, \cdots, m, \sum_i p_i = 1 \]
\[ q_j > 0, j = 1, \cdots, n, \sum_j q_j = 1 \]

Then the log-empirical likelihood ratio statistic \( R(\text{delta}) \) is defined according to the empirical likelihood theory. The log-empirical likelihood ratio statistic converges to a weighted Chi-squared distribution, which will be used to construct the EL based confidence intervals for the differences of two data samples that come from two non-parametric contrasting groups. Extensive experiments show that our method outperforms the bootstrap method in most cases. But when a same numerical attribute of the two contrasting data groups differs significantly and has a large variance, the bootstrap method performs more inferior than our EL based method. This can be seen in the experimental results both in the spambase and Wisconsin breast cancer datasets.

**Conclusions and Future Work**

In this paper we have proposed a model for building confidence intervals for the mean and DF differences between two non-parametric contrasting groups. Extensive experiments show that our method outperforms the bootstrap method. One of the main directions of our future work will be to utilize the derived confidence intervals, along with the differences, to make predictions about the properties of the contrasting groups.
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