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Abstract

This paper presents a new methodology for building special-purpose software agents that capture and access information in large, heterogeneous, distributed information environments. It allows rapid prototyping of information agents for solving a wide range of retrieval tasks with guarantees on performance. The key idea is to exploit underlying structure at various levels of granularity to build partial models that act as high-level indices with task-specific interpretations. These partial models are constructed using modules called navigators. Information agents are configured by using effective communication protocols to connect structure detectors and navigators. This methodology is applied to the design and implementation of information agents in two contexts: one for retrieving stock market information from scanned copies of newspapers and another for retrieving technical reports from the Internet.

1 Introduction

The proliferation of information in electronic form and the development of high-speed networking makes the problem of locating and retrieving information in vast electronic environments one of the grand challenges of artificial intelligence. Our research goal is to develop methods for solving the information capture and access (ICA) problem in heterogeneous, distributed environments. In its most general form, the ICA problem can be posed as follows: given an electronic data environment, capture it by acquiring partial models of it and access it guided by user-specified tasks. The modeling of the data environment associates task-level content with the information which in turn facilitates location and retrieval. Examples of data environments are electronic repositories of newspapers and technical reports, data from high-energy physics experiments, weather satellite data, and audio and video recordings. Examples of tasks that query and manipulate environments include content-based retrieval of technical reports, access of documents via citations, summaries of stock prices from archives, and retrieval of temporal weather patterns from a weather database.

A diverse collection of tools like WAIS [Kah91], Gopher, Archie and Mosaic have been developed to provide keyword-based access to large ASCII text environments, as well as limited manipulation (e.g., display) of non-textual information. Underlying these tools are two assumptions about the nature of ICA tasks and methods for decomposing them. The first assumption is that tasks can be specified with words that occur in the data environment. The second assumption is that words form a natural index into the environment. Tasks for which word-based indices are inadequate or do not exist, are either not supported, or are solved by scanning every word in the data environment. Consider the retrieval request "get the paper by Tom Mitchell with the picture of the Theo architecture on the second page". This request cannot be handled reliably by simple keyword-based systems. Yet another example is the query "find a mechanism that converts a uniform rotary motion into a reciprocating motion". This request must be handled reliably by simple keyword-based systems.

In this paper, we propose a methodology that relies on detectors of structure to identify entities at an appropriate level to use as indices into the data environment. These high level structural units function as beacons or landmarks in the data environment. We call this approach structure-based information capture and access. Structure-based ICA decomposes the retrieval problem into recognition of high-level structure and traversal of portions of the data environment with this structure. By structure, we mean any level of abstraction higher than the basic unit of data representation like characters and pixels. For example, tables, figures, lists, paragraphs and sections are layout-based abstractions for documents. Theorems, lemmas, examples and counterexamples are content-based abstractions. These structures encode semantic information about the data, and serve as filters to select the portions of the data relevant to a task. These structures, however, are not immediately available. We develop information agents to actively detect task-specific structure in the environment and exploit them for efficient retrieval.

Consider the task of finding precision recall measures for specific collections (such as the CACM) from a scanned set of technical reports. Precision recall numbers are contained in articles on information retrieval and they are frequently displayed in tables. Figure 1 shows a zoomed-out view of a paper on information retrieval...
information retrieval that highlights the presence of tables.
In our approach, we view the pages of the article at
a coarse granularity to pick out tables, using simple
geometric computations. We then examine the tables
at a finer level to extract the desired information. Our
approach is effective in information domains with data
represented at a level of detail that is too fine for ex-
haustive searches to be feasible (e.g., bits, for scanned
text), but where there is enough hidden, high-level
structure to filter relevant information efficiently.

We implement our generic task decomposition
scheme using information agents [KC88, 8]. An in-
formation agent is a composition of special-purpose
programs called structure detectors and navigators.
Structure detectors efficiently decide whether a block
of data has a specified abstract property to within
specified error tolerance and confidence, e.g., "is this
block of text a table or a graph?" Navigators decom-
pose the data segments extracted by structure detec-
tors into more detailed units. For instance, the table
navigator for the precision-recall agent extracts mod-
els of the table as a set of rows and refines it as a set
of characters in each row.

Underlying this task decomposition is the belief
that (1) high-level structures such as tables and trajec-
tories can be robustly detected by simple and efficient
programs with guaranteed performance properties, (2)
a library of parametric structure detectors for a variety
of tasks and environments can be constructed, and (3)
navigators that extract portions of the data environ-
ment with the detected structure can be constructed.
All of these beliefs can be put to empirical test. In
this paper we build information agents based on this
task decomposition for two retrieval tasks with very
different characteristics.

Information agents roam their environment, aided
by their navigators, looking for patterns, recognized
by their structure detectors. This approach to the
ICA problem bears a strong similarity to the search
of physical environments by mobile robots [4]. Struc-
ture detectors are virtual sensors and navigators are
virtual effectors. The detailed structure of an infor-
mation agent is shown in Figure 2. It is a tree of al-
ternating layers of structure detectors and navigators.
Modular Agent Architectures
data and control flow paths. Each of these data paths, an
information agent also has communication and interpreta-
tion links, that can connect any two nodes in the tree. The
communication and interpretation paths are used for
answer assembly, and for error detection and recovery. We
construct these agents from a library of robust
structure detectors and navigators that we have built
[RS94]. Our ultimate goal is to assemble these agents
automatically from task specifications using classical
planning techniques.

2 Modular Agent Architectures

In this section, we describe navigators and structure
detectors in more detail, and provide examples of
Finer and finer partitions of the data environment are
examined by the levels further away from the root. There
are two types of paths between nodes. The first type flows downwards through the tree, conveying
data from structure detectors to navigators at successive
levels. In addition to these data paths, an informa-
tion agents. We discuss methods of composing informa-
tion agents from these components with appropriate
data and control flow paths.

2.1 Navigators

Navigators are programs that actively that make
"maps" at the various granularities of representation.
Each map is a partial model of the world. The repre-
sentation of the article in Figure 1 at the paragraph
level is such a model. Navigators segment the data
in the environment at the appropriate grain size for
structure detectors. We model granularity shifts in
the descriptions of the data environment using con-
cepts from topology² [Mun75].

Definition 2.1 A navigator n is a function that takes
a topology of the world W and produces a refinement
of that topology.

\[ n : \tau_1(W) \rightarrow \tau_2(W) \text{ where } \tau_2 \text{ is a refinement of } \tau_1 \]

This abstract specification of a navigator allows us to
characterize its behavior independent of its implementa-
tion. The set \( T \) of all topologies of a data environ-
ment \( W \) is computed from a set \( N \) of navigators as a
finite (functional) composition of elements of \( N \). Since
the refinement relation between topologies is a partial
order, \( T \) is a lattice. The top element of the lattice \( T \)
is the trivial topology of \( W \). The bottom element \( \bot \) is
a topology consisting of singleton subsets containing
the elements of \( W \). Modeling the data environment
as a lattice of topologies generated by navigators pro-
vides computational support for the idea of generating
successive refinements that zoom-in to the required in-
formation.

We now present a logical navigator that segments a
scanned document, and characterize its efficiency and
robustness. Given a pixel array of a document, the

²Let \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) be two topologies over a set \( S \). If \( \tau_1 \subset \tau_2 \)
then \( \tau_2 \) is refinement of \( \tau_1 \).

³A \( @ B = \{ a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B \} \) is the Minkowski sum of
sets \( A \) and \( B \). \( S_d^2 \) is a circle of diameter \( d \).
are we to extract paragraphs in the scanned document using the block segmenter? The answer to this question is determined entirely by the data environment and not the algorithm. Our algorithm is robust in environments where significant d values are spaced more than εp apart. A more formal statement of this intuitive analysis of robustness requires the formulation of layout models of scanned documents. A formal robustness analysis is presented in the next subsection for a structure detector that operates on paragraph-level regions in an ASCII document.

2.2 Structure detectors

Structure detectors are programs that can efficiently decide whether a block of data has a specified property P. An example is the property of being a table or a graph for a block of text.

Definition 2.3 A structure detector is a computable function s defined on a topology τ(W) of the world to a discrete subset t(W) of that topology, such that t(W) has the property P.

s : τ(W) → 2τ(W)

τ(W) is a topological set. Text, numeric, and digital audio and video data environments are topological sets, due to their discrete nature. A structure detector s for a property P is correct if it finds all the subsets of τ(W) which satisfy P. A structure detector s for property P is robust if whenever it recognizes t(W), it recognizes all its ε-perturbations. We now describe the design of a robust table detector.

Webster's Seventh Dictionary defines a table as a systematic arrangement of data usually in rows and columns for ready reference. Implicit in this definition is a layout component and a lexical component: the data is organized in columns of similar information. Consider the table in Figure 3: while its layout and lexical structures are clear; it is not very regular. Our goal is to create a table detector that checks for column and row structure while tolerating irregularities to within specified error bounds.

The measure for the column layout of a block of text is given in terms of a data structure called the white space density graph and denoted by WDG. Let B be a block of text of n rows and m columns and w : \{c | c is a character \} → \{0, 1\} with w(space) = 1 and \forall c \neq space, w(c) = 0.

Definition 2.4 Vertical structure: The white space density graph of B is the polygonal line WDG : [0, m] → [0, 1] defined by the points WDG(i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} w(B_{i,j}), 0 ≤ i ≤ m.

Definition 2.5 Deviations in vertical structure: Given an error tolerance εv, a block of text has column structure if it occurs between two successive local maxima in the WDG above (100 − εv)%. Each local maximum in the WDG is a candidate column separator. A candidate column is a real table column only when it has corresponding horizontal lexical structure. We are far from being able to identify row structure based on semantic content, but semantic uniformity in rows is highly correlated with lexical uniformity. We exploit this correlation in the design of a robust table detector. In distinguishing lexical structure, we identify the following equivalence classes of characters: alphabetic, numeric, and special (each special character is in its own class). Let c0, c1, ..., cn denote the columns of a table. We use regular expressions for generalizing the contents of a column.

Definition 2.6 Horizontal structure: Consider the columns c1, ..., cn of a block of text, and consider the lexical descriptions r1, ..., rn of these columns. This text also has row structure if and only if the language described by r1, r2, ..., rn is non-empty.

Given ε > 0, two strings a and b are ε-similar if M(a, b) ≤ εk, where M is the Levenshtein metric for string comparison.

Definition 2.7 Deviations in horizontal structure: Given εk > 0 and a set of strings, an εk-typing is a division of the set into disjoint subsets such that any two strings in the same subset are εk-similar.

Lexical typing for a table is done in two parts. Each candidate column is analyzed to determine a regular expression for its type. The alphabet of types is generated by εk-typing the column elements. The lexical type of the table is obtained by computing the minimum regular expression over the column types. This step allows for the occurrence of multi-line records in a table and for εk tolerance in the record units. A minimal εk-typing partitions the elements of the column in the coarsest possible way.

We analyze the robustness and performance of the algorithm in [RS94].

2.3 Gluing detectors and navigators by communication

Information agents are created from task specifications. In this section, we discuss how simple agents are constructed from available detectors and navigators, and how complex agents can be built from simple ones. Synthesizing an information agent for a given task consists of (1) identifying a set of structures at different levels of detail that are relevant to the task, and choosing (or creating) detectors that can recognize these structures, (2) choosing navigators that segment data at the right granularity for each detector, (3) composing the agent tree from these navigator/detector pairs, and (4) interpreting the computed data.

A simple agent is composed of a navigator and detector connected in series. For this composition to make sense, we need the output topology of the navigator to match the input topology of the detector. We call the topology matching constraints calibration constraints.

Definition 2.8 Simple agent: A simple agent is constructed from a navigator n : τ1(W) → τ2(W) and a detector s : τ(W) → 2τ(W) connected in series, denoted n • s, such that the calibration constraint τ2(W) = τ(W) holds.
We define two composition schemes for agents and identify calibration constraints on each scheme.

Definition 2.9 Serial composition: An agent \( a_1 : \tau_{in1}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out1}(W) \) can be serially composed with an agent \( a_2 : \tau_{in2}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out2}(W) \) in that order yielding a new agent \( a : \tau_{in1}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out2}(W) \) constructed from the functional composition of \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \), provided the calibration constraint \( \tau_{out1}(W) = \tau_{in2}(W) \) holds.

Definition 2.10 Parallel composition: An agent \( a_1 : \tau_{in1}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out1}(W) \) can be composed in parallel with an agent \( a_2 : \tau_{in2}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out2}(W) \), yielding an agent \( a : \tau_{in1}(W) \rightarrow \tau_{out1}(W) \times \tau_{out2}(W) \), provided the calibration constraint \( \tau_{in1}(W) = \tau_{in2}(W) \) holds.

If \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are simple agents, then the above operation constitutes sharing of a navigator. Parallel composition of two simple agents allows for different recognizers to operate on the same data partition. For instance, a table detector and a detector for recognizing graphs in text both employ paragraph level partitions.

With these two composition schemes we can built agent trees in a task-directed manner. So far we have only discussed constraints on data flow between agents. We now turn to control flow. There are two approaches to control flow in the agent tree: the centralized control scheme, and the localized control scheme. Each of these is well-suited for different situations: Centralized control gives the root node control over passing partition parameters to the appropriate navigators. The root itself gets the parameters from the user. Localized schemes distribute invocation control throughout the tree.

The designer ensures that the agent maintains an invariant – the mapping between the results of computations (recall these are topologies) and the “meaning” of the extracted data. In the Stock Agent, discussed in the next section, the designer establishes a mapping between the structure extracted by the table detector and a “stock table” – the latter is a task-specific category, the former a geometric object. The mapping constitutes the interpretation of items in the rows as companies and stock prices, and items in the columns as high, low, and closing values. The designer incorporates checks in each agent to ensure the integrity of this mapping between results of computations performed by the agent and their task-specific interpretations. Further examples of data interpretation are discussed in the context of the Stock Agent and the Bib Agents described in the following sections.

3 Example 1: Compiling Reports from Tabular Data

Consider the task of compiling a stock report for AT & T for a given period of time using an electronically archived collection of The New York Times. For each day in the given time interval, we can structure the task as follows:

1. We partition the paper into sections using the navigator in Section 2.1 with the border parameter that characterizes sections in The New York Times.

2. We filter the business section from the paper (this is where the stock data is most likely to occur) by using a structure detector for section titles.

3. We partition the business section into blocks at the paragraph level, using the navigator in Section 2.1 with the border parameter that characterizes paragraphs.

4. Since stock data in The New York Times is represented in tabular form, or in graphical form, we select the tables and graphs using the table detector and the graph detector.

5. We zoom into each table and graph to extract the specific information on AT&T.
Figure 4: An information agent for compiling stock reports (left). The Bib agent (right).

are recognized as tables are provided to a row navigator, in order to separate the records. The rows are individually passed to a string comparator, to identify the AT & T records. The data interpretation phase is complex. The link between the string comparator and the table detector has knowledge of stock table layouts and is used for passing and interpreting the AT & T record. This knowledge, encoded as rules, is used to identify the location of specific columns, e.g., the closing column.

The link between the root node and the character recognizer is used to handle a common failure mode. If the closing value for a specific day is unavailable, the root invokes an alternate computation. For this problem, the blocks generated by the character recognizer are passed to a graph detector. This is because stock values are sometimes reported via graphs. The graph detector recognizes blocks containing graphs, which are decomposed into text and curves. Another detector which uses a string comparator identifies the curve corresponding to AT & T and extracts the desired value.

Note that even though the computation is local, communication paths vital for answer assembly and error recovery are non-local in this design. We are examining methods of localizing communication through the tree while still being able to provide powerful error detection and recovery strategies.

We have implemented the Stock Agent for the data domain of Internet newsgroups. Typical messages consist of a combination of prose and tables that vary in format. This agent extracts tables from a given list of business newsgroups which are then searched for records labeled "ATT". An extracted record is interpreted by rules that define the High, Low, and Closing columns on a table of stock data. Sample results for running this agent are given in Figure 5.

We note that the Stock Agent can be used to retrieve any other type of information that is present in tabular form, if augmented with appropriate data interpretation procedures. In particular, we have instantiated the design in Figure 4 for the task of retrieving precision-recall measures for specific collections from our database of scanned technical reports on information retrieval.

4 Example 2: Retrieving Technical Reports

With increasing amounts of heterogeneous distributed data, there is a need for tools that can autonomously obtain information from fairly coarse specifications, with minimal human intervention. In this section we describe an agent that retrieves technical reports and bibliographic references from the Internet given high-level specifications of the desired information. As an example, consider the query "retrieve recent articles on machine learning from the technical report ftp sites through the Internet". This query provides information about the possible locations of the articles, but more information is needed to find the actual subdirectories that contain articles on machine learning.

We have implemented Bib Agent to accomplish this task. Bib Agent makes guesses (based on incrementally gathered statistics) about the plausible location of the report or reference and autonomously navigates through the network looking for it. It also enhances its performance over time by remembering paths taken in previous searches. It deciphers the best possible way of presenting retrieved information to the user.

Bib Agent's structure is shown in Figure 4. Bib Agent is built on top of the Alex [Cat92] filesystem which provides users transparent access to files located in all the ftp-sites over the world. It uses Unix com-
mands like cd and ls to work its way through the directories and subdirectories accessible by anonymous ftp. A structure detector that consists of the ls Unix utility and a filter selects a subset of directories for the next set of navigators. These directories are processed in parallel. Bib Agent uses a mixture of default path selection and human intervention to navigate its way to the desired information. We have a specialized structure detector with knowledge of bibliographic data files (.bbl and .bib files). This detector can efficiently retrieve a completed bibliographic reference using partial knowledge of some of the fields of a reference. The answers from the leaves of the tree are passed directly to the root, as they are computed. A sample output from our implementation is shown in Figure 6.

Bib Agent is a learning agent. It incrementally constructs a road map of the Internet indexed by queries. The road map consists of cached paths to information relevant to the query. Bib Agent also learns from user input as it searches. A user can thus customize Bib Agent with his own preferences by showing it some examples. The complexity of this agent arises from the considerable amount of procedurally encoded knowledge about the Unix file organization embedded in each structure detector in the tree.

5 Discussion

Our research goal is to develop and prototype a methodology for conceptual retrieval tasks in large, heterogeneous, distributed multimedia environments. In most data environments, content is partially encoded in extant underlying structures at varying levels of granularity. We exploit this regularity and propose the construction of information agents using structure detectors and navigators glued together by communication, as a paradigm for organizing the search for information.

We provide users with an assembly kit for constructing agents from a library of structure detectors and matching navigators, and communication glues. These detectors and navigators come with performance guarantees. For instance, the table detector can detect tabular information to within user-specified tolerance in row and column misalignments. Since the function of each component is clearly specified, users can assemble agents with the same ease as drawing schematics on paper.

Our design philosophy is a sharp contrast to that behind large, general-purpose systems like Wais, Archie, and Gopher. When is this design methodology more appropriate than others? To answer this question, we need a theoretical basis for characterizing ICA tasks and for measuring the effectiveness of alternate architectures on task classes. The notion of structure introduced in this paper is a first step toward characterizing tasks in an implementation-independent manner. We constructed an information agent for the class of retrieval tasks whose answers can be found in tabular form. We instantiated this agent for compiling stock reports and for finding precision-recall measures. We built another information agent using the same paradigm for a task that required physical navigation over the Internet. On the experimental side, we are at the beginning of a long road. We need to integrate our work with widely-used multimedia data. Our current work with the CS-TR project, a nation-wide effort to create an electronic library of computer science reports, will serve as a large-scale testbed for information agents.

References


Figure 6: The user interface of Bib Agent. The left image is the invoking command. The agent is asked to locate papers on learning, by looking at .ps and .au files in repositories of technical reports on ai. The agent interacts with the user by giving two choices: the Technical Report ftp list and the Anonymous ftp list. The user selects both. The middle image represents the next interaction between the agent and the user, which happens when the agent searches the MIT repository. The agent automatically navigates to the mit/ai/ftp/ai-pubs/publications directory but does not know how to select between the 1991, 1992, and 1993 subdirectories. The user selects 1993, and the agent returns the only paper that includes the word learning on the first page. The first page of this document is shown on the right.


