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Agent-Based Systems in the Business Context

Work-flow management systems are integrated software tools for supporting the modeling, analysis, and enactment of business processes. The development of this technology has been driven by the move toward process-oriented management in the 1990s using initiatives such as Continuous Business Process Improvement and Business Process Reengineering. Its coordination benefits have generated a commercial market estimated in 1996 to be worth $2.6 billion. Despite this market size, the technology is currently limited to simple administration-type problems, and work-flow researchers are seeking to develop the new techniques demanded by more dynamic applications. In contrast, the AI community has been involved with related research on dynamic process management for several decades. This workshop brought together researchers and practitioners from each field to identify and discuss the AI technologies that could be leveraged to meet the requirements of future work-flow systems.

Speakers with a range of backgrounds presented 12 papers, which led to wide-ranging debates on the issues raised. It became clear that although AI provides a wealth of relevant technologies, and the work-flow field offers opportunity for their widespread deployment in commercial applications, the AI community has much to learn from exploring work-flow as a test bed for its ideas. Domains that involve the active control of computational entities and physical devices have traditionally motivated AI. The list of relevant technologies includes agent-based architectures, plan synthesis, scheduling and constraint management algorithms, and reactive control architectures. However, the physical and computational agents to which they have traditionally been applied are more

crisply defined and more willing to accept instructions without explanation than their human counterparts in work-flow applications. People want to see a range of options that they can explore to tailor a solution to their preferences, and it is more difficult to crisply define their roles and capabilities in the context of a process. There is much in common here with the recent mixed-initiative push in AI research. Work-flow offers opportunities for AI to really add value to commercial applications, also offering an interesting test bed to motivate the development of the field. The workshop proceedings contain excellent papers that outline the real-world requirements of work-flow and the embryonic efforts of AI researchers in addressing them. It provides an excellent starting point if you are interested in investigating how this exciting area can benefit from your research.

Brian Drabble
University of Oregon

Agents’ Conflicts

This workshop followed the ECAI’98 workshop “Conflicts among Agents: Avoid or Use Them?” and the IJCAI-97 workshop entitled “Collaboration, Cooperation, and Conflict in Dialogue Systems.” The aim this time was to focus on definitions of agents’ conflicts and their roles within a multi-agent system, that is, how this system might evolve thanks to, despite of, or because of conflicts. The workshop included three invited papers and six submitted papers.

The following original ideas were proposed: (1) within a team of agents, conflict handling is a team goal; (2) search for an agreement is better than averaging (numeric) criteria; (3) spatial conflicts among a team of robots can generate a derived behavior; (4) conflicts are not necessarily disturbing for the set of agents; (5) conflict handling might not be necessary; and (6) decisions can be made on the basis of conflicts.

The main conclusions of the discussion were the following: First, it is easier not to be in conflict than to be in conflict: the former can mean that the agents are not even interacting, and the latter supposes that the agents are within the same context. Second, conflict is often symmetric, but it might happen that it is not. Third, are conflicts useful? The answer depends on...
the problem. To be useful, a conflict must be observed. Fourth, what we learn from a conflict depends on the situation. Learning is possible if agents are aware of the conflict. Fifth, conflicts are positive in certain cases; for example, they can create specific behaviors, create competition, or stimulate inference. Please visit www.cert.fr/fr/dcsd/PUB/AAAI99/contlicts.html for more details!

Laurent Chaudron
Catherine Tessier
Onera-Cert-DCSD

Artificial Intelligence for Distributed Information Networking (AIDIN'99)

This third workshop follows the IJCAI-95 and IJCAI-97 workshops on the use of AI in wired and wireless distributed information networking (DIN).

The workshop again focused on complex DIN problems. Twelve papers were presented on these topics: security management, fault management, service management, user applications, routing, quality of service, and congestion management. Four invited speakers gave excellent presentations on intelligent agents in wired and wireless networking, electronic marketplaces, network routing with reinforcement learning, and network routing with collective intelligence. See liawww.epfl.ch/AIDIN99/ for full details on the workshop.

Two panel discussions were held. The first was entitled “An Agent Future for DIN Management?” and was led by Sue Abu-Hakima. Intelligent agents have quickly become a key technology in information networking, leaving us with many open issues, especially standardization now tackled by FIPA (www.fipa.org) and MASIF (www.omg.org). The second panel was lead by Beat Liver and was entitled “The Future of Resource Network Management?” The panel discussed the main goal in resource management: maximizing service provider profits while maximizing the use of available resources. This goal requires the management of complex value chains and service agreements as well as the maximizing of economic efficiencies. All these areas provide rich application domains for AI technology.

The attendees agreed that the need for AI technology in DIN is essential in the complex world of converging networks integrated with seamless information provision from the World Wide Web. We agreed that we might hold another workshop at AAAI-2000 or organize a spring symposium on this continuously evolving hot topic.

Sue Abu-Hakima
AmikaNow! Corporation
Steven Willmott
Laboratoire d'Intelligence Artificielle

Computation with Neural Systems

This workshop focused on the interaction between artificial and real neural networks and computation and formed a part of a series of workshops to be held on this topic (the second workshop took place at the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks in 1999). The aim of the workshops was to transfer findings on how the brain might operate with computer science with the possibility of inspiring novel research in computer science. The workshop highlighted the issues of how the brain deals with synchronization, processing speed, timing, robustness, modular construction, information representation, and transmission because all these areas are important problems in computer systems. The workshop attracted nine papers, which managed to capture all these issues. Approximately half the papers covered results arising from models of neural systems that were balanced by papers presenting artificial systems that were inspired by biological systems. The workshop concluded that there are many lessons that can be learned from both disciplines, but a major hurdle is the use of a common language between the areas. It was thought that the future workshops would clearly allow this to develop and might then provide a platform for developing novel collaborative research with novel computer architectures.

The workshop organizers were Jim Austin, Stefan Wermeter, Vasant Honavar, and Victoria Hodge. Details of future workshops can be found at www.his.sunderland.ac.uk/emernet/.

Jim Austin
University of York

Configuration

Configurators are a cornerstone for successful applications of the mass customization paradigm. Combined with e-business solutions, these techniques have a high market impact. Configuring can be defined, in a simplifying way, as the task of identifying the set of parts or components that, assembled together, will satisfy a customer's request. In more sophisticated applications, configuring also includes the configuration of hardware and software as well as the determination of correct parameter settings of the components.

Configurators are generating new business opportunities in many industries for new products and new ways to interact with customers. However, efficient development and maintenance of configurators require sophisticated software development techniques. AI methods, more than ever, are central to the development of powerful configuration tools.

This workshop succeeded in drawing together researchers and practitioners. From a total of 45 attendees, 20 participants came from industry. The affiliations of the other 25 participants were universities or national research laboratories.

The accepted papers were grouped around common themes: knowledge acquisition and representation, reconfiguration and diagnosis of knowledge bases, reasoning, and tools and applications. Ample time was left for discussion.

An old, important but still unsolved challenge is the generation of helpful explanations. This issue was one of the central discussion points in the session entitled “Knowledge Acquisition and Representation.”

The session entitled “Reconfiguration and Diagnosis of Knowledge Bases” showed the importance of supporting the maintenance of existing product configurations. In business
domains where products are continuously changed to fit the customer’s needs, efficient reconfiguration is a necessity. The discussion proved that enhancements of the current techniques are desirable.

The main focus in the session on reasoning dealt with improvements of configuration algorithms based on constraint satisfaction. An interesting set of questions posed to industry was, “Is it worth improving our configuration algorithms, or are they already good enough? As a consequence, should we invest our person-power in other research topics such as knowledge acquisition and maintenance?” The uncontradicted answer was that improving reasoning is still an important issue for various reasons, for example, optimization or integration of configurators in the production process.

This need for integrating configurators at various points from design through sales and maintenance was pointed out in the session entitled “Tools and Applications.” The integration of configuration and production planning and scheduling is promising from an economic point of view because it contributes to an optimization of the manufacturing process.

In addition to the panel discussions, system demonstrations were presented by Baan, GMD FIRST, Tacton Systems, University Bremen, University Hamburg, and Lenze and showed the current state of the art in configuration tools. Additional information can be found at www.ifi.uni-klu.ac.at/Conferences/aaai99_ws_configuration.

Boi Faltings
Eugene C. Freuder
Gerhard Friedrich
Universitaet Klagenfurt

Data Mining with Evolutionary Algorithms: Research Directions

The Workshop entitled “Data Mining with Evolutionary Algorithms: Research Directions” was jointly sponsored by the American Association for Artificial Intelligence and GECCO-99. The general goal of the workshop was to discuss research issues concerning the integration of two areas: (1) data mining and (2) evolutionary algorithms. The workshop brought together people from these two research communities, from both academia and industry. This half-day workshop was attended by approximately 40 participants and consisted of 5 paper presentations, as follows:

First, James Thomas and Katia Sycara (both of Carnegie Mellon University) presented a genetic programming-based system for trading rule discovery, whose performance was evaluated over real-world exchange rate data in the dollar-yen and dollar-dm markets. They focused on the issues of rule complexity and how to fight overfitting. Gary Weiss (Rutgers University) addressed the problem of predicting rare events from a sequence of events. The author has developed a genetic algorithm–based system, TIMEWEAVER, that, given a prespecified target event, learns to identify patterns in the data that successfully predict the future occurrence of this event. In essence, TIMEWEAVER uses a Michigan-style genetic algorithm to evolve a set of prediction rules. It also uses a niching strategy to ensure that a diverse set of rules is achieved.

Second, Cesar Guerra-Salcedo and Darrell Whitley (both of Colorado State University) proposed the use of genetic algorithms to select features for an ensemble of classifiers. They have experimented with two classifiers—(1) C4.5 and (2) Euclidean decision tables—and several ensemble- construction methods, including bagging and boosting.

Third, Simon Thompson (BT Labs, United Kingdom) proposed using a genetic algorithm to prune an ensemble of classifiers. The genetic algorithm works with a real-valued encoding, and it is used to optimize the weightings of the classifiers in the ensemble. He also discussed some research directions in the use of genetic algorithms for postprocessing of the discovered knowledge, including the supplementation of this knowledge, for example, the discovery of new rules covering data that are not correctly classified by the current rules.

Fourth, Nicolas Monmarche (University of Tours, France) presented a hybrid method for clustering, combining the stochastic principles of the artificial ants paradigm with a well-known statistical method for clustering, the K-MEANS algorithm.

Fifth, in addition to these projects, the workshop proceedings also contains a paper that was not presented at the workshop, entitled “Genetic Algorithms for Selection and Partitioning of Attributes in Large-Scale Data Mining Problems,” by William Hsu, William Pottinger, Michael Welge, Jie Wu, and Ting-Hao Yang (National Center for Supercomputing Applications).


Alex A. Freitas
Pontifical Catholic University of Parana (PUC-PR), Curitiba, Brazil

Environmental Decision Support Systems and Artificial Intelligence: New Issues

This workshop was the perfect opportunity to bring together researchers from both environmental sciences and AI. The workshop had 25 submissions, and 13 papers were accepted mainly from European research centers. This workshop is the second that AAAI has hosted to show the ongoing and growing collaboration of AI researchers and environmental scientists.

Accepted papers touched a wide variety of environmental problems, such as environmental and technological risk management, forest resource management, ozone concentration prediction, trumpeter swan management, and waste water treatment plants, showing the importance and strengths of interaction between the two communities. All the contributors were asked to organize the presentation of their environmental decision support system (EDDS) around the following questions: What is the environmental problem we are trying to solve? What are the AI methods used? How are they facing the problem? How are we testing the methods?
The workshop was organized in five blocks. The first block was “From classic DSS to EDSS.” The second block was “Soft Computing and the Environment.” The third block was “Distributed and Integrated AI and Environmental Issues.” The fourth block was “Ontologies and KBS in Environmental Issues.” The last block was a general discussion about the future of the intersection between AI and environmental sciences.

During the final panel of the workshop, we tried to identify those issues that are central in the development of an EDDS and that require more in-depth work in future years.

U. Cortés
M. Sánchez-Marré
Technical University of Catalonia

Exploring Synergies of Knowledge Management and Case-Based Reasoning

This workshop, which attracted approximately 40 participants, concentrated on intersecting issues of these two fields. Previous workshops focused on these two topics, but none on their intersection. This workshop was well timed; both case-based-reasoning industrialists and researchers (for example, studying e-commerce and related topics) recently explored issues related to applying case-based reasoning to knowledge management processes.

This workshop included seven invited talks, mostly from knowledge management experts and case-based-reasoning industrialists (that is, representing Techno and The Haley Enterprise) to balance the novel contributions, which were mostly from case-based-reasoning researchers. Invited talks included discussions on enterprise resource planning systems, case studies, process-centered knowledge management, and the use of ontologies to support knowledge management processes. Contributed papers consisted mainly of descriptions of interactive applications and knowledge management and case-based reasoning frameworks; they often highlighted knowledge management processes (for example, embedding the case-authoring process in a problem-solving context). Several presenters argued that knowledge management techniques are not limited to business tasks and that the case-based reasoning task-decomposition cycle does not address all the behaviors needed to support knowledge management processes.

The final panel identified several lessons learned: Case-based-reasoning applications to knowledge management should closely integrate case-based-reasoning processes into the knowledge management tasks and models that they target; knowledge management tasks demand more advanced case-authoring tools; and organizational dynamics must be considered when applying case-based reasoning to knowledge management processes. We anticipate that future work relating knowledge management with case-based reasoning will address these issues.

David W. Aha
National Research Laboratory
Irma Becerra-Fernandez
FIU
Hector Munoz-Avila
University of Maryland

Intelligent Software Engineering

There is an emerging consensus that the areas of AI and software engineering need to enter into a new, mutually symbiotic, relationship. AI offers software engineering crucial pointers for building tools to support and automate, at least partially, the key human-centric tasks involved in software development and maintenance. Software engineering provides AI researchers perhaps the best test bed to evaluate the efficacy of new tools and techniques. The intent of this workshop was to provide a forum for discussing ideas at the interface of these two areas.

The response to the idea of such a forum was encouraging. The workshop included 10 contributed papers, an invited talk, and a panel discussion. The contributed papers provided a representative sample of research in this area, covering topics such as inconsistency handling in requirements engineering, updating of formal specifications, automated component retrieval and synthesis, the application of abductive techniques in software testing and debugging of hardware designs, the interplay between software engineering and knowledge engineering, and methods for building lightweight domain-specific tools that exploit commercial off-the-shelf products. The invited talk challenged some common assumptions on the role of metaknowledge in knowledge maintenance. The panel discussion explored the application of AI techniques in software maintenance.

The vast majority of participants were AI researchers and practitioners sharing a conviction in the utility of AI principles and techniques to software engineering, both at a foundational and at a practical level. How this message could be convincingly conveyed to the software engineering community was a concern shared by many. A final (unscheduled) discussion, involving a large number of workshop participants, indicated a real need for a forum such as this becoming a regular feature. Plans are under way to make this workshop a continuing series.

Aditya Ghose
University of Wollongong
Tim Menzies
NASA Software Independent Verification and Validation Facility
Ken Satoh
Hokkaido University

Machine Learning for Information Extraction

The dramatic growth in the number and size of online textual information sources has fueled increasing research interest in the information-extraction problem. Given a set of text documents from some domain, an information-extraction system automatically populates a predefined database by extracting relevant fragments from the documents. Because manually constructed information-extraction systems must be adapted to each new problem domain, various machine-learning techniques have recently been applied to the information-
The main purpose of the workshop was to deepen the information-extraction community’s understanding of the state of the art by bringing together researchers who address the information-extraction problem from different perspectives.

The workshop had two invited presentations. “New Directions in Information Extraction,” by Claire Cardie, emphasized that learning extraction rules is just one of many parts of the information-extraction problem to which machine learning can be applied. “Information Extraction from the Web,” by Tom Mitchell, integrated the information-extraction problem into the larger context of text learning. Paper presentations explored the application of propositional rule learning, inductive logic programming, and hidden Markov models to the problem of learning extraction patterns. Two overview papers were also presented: a review of the state of the art in learning extraction rules and a survey of the roles that machine learning plays within different components of information-extraction systems. For more details, see the online proceedings at www.isi.edu/~muslea/RISE/ML4IE/.

Mary Elaine Califf
Illinois State University

Mixed-Initiative Intelligence

Mixed-initiative intelligence represents an amalgam of human and machine cognition that together produces intelligent behavior. Mixed-initiative systems integrate human and automated reasoning to take advantage of their respective reasoning styles and computational strengths. The benefit is the potential to combine the resources available to both; the challenge is to manage the interaction and responsibilities encountered in joint decision making. Such were the assumptions that motivated the workshop, although many objected to some of the finer points expressed.

This workshop was the first gathering to bring together various groups performing research on mixed-initiative systems. The participants included two major camps that have seldom worked together closely: (1) the mixed-initiative planning community and (2) the mixed-initiative dialogue communities. The mixed-initiative planning community emphasizes the “mixed” aspect, and the mixed-initiative dialogue community emphasizes the “initiative” aspect. In addition to these members, many other representatives from various disciplines added to the interaction. Also, the workshop included persons presenting research based on cognitive engineering, decision-theoretic, and experimental psychology approaches. The result was a pleasant mix of 33 individual points of view.

One of the highlights of the workshop was an invited presentation by Paul Cohen (University of Mas-
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Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing
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July 24-26, 2000, Banff, Alberta, Canada

Sponsored by The International Association of Science and Technology for Development (IASTED), in cooperation with the American Association for Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), this third annual conference is a forum for researchers and practitioners interested in the advances and applications of artificial intelligence and soft computing. Topics include but are not limited to the following:

- Intelligent Databases
- Intelligent Control
- Intelligent Agents
- Distributed Artificial Intelligence
- Adaptive Intelligent Systems
- Expert Systems
- Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy Systems
- Neural Networks
- Probabilistic Reasoning
- Machine Learning
- Genetic Algorithms
- Knowledge Representation
- Knowledge Acquisition
- Computational Linguistics

Submit your Paper Proposals Today
Submission Deadline: April 15, 2000
Please see the IASTED Web site at www.iasted.com for more details.
Reasoning in Context for AI Applications

Twenty researchers from various scientific areas participated in this one-day workshop. This workshop followed several months of electronic discussion in which 50 participants discussed the topic, sometimes vigorously.

The workshop began with a short session in which the participants introduced themselves and their positions. Some participants took a cognitive view of context and were mainly interested in how context is represented and what the nature of reasoning with context is. Others took a software-engineering approach and used context to help manage knowledge and decision making in their systems. Within their applications, participants focused on how context is represented, how agents exploit context in reasoning, and how context affects interagent interaction.

The rest of the workshop was devoted to group discussion. Results included identifying the several distinct entities commonly referred to as “context” or “situation” and recognizing that a large number of items have to be considered in an agent’s context; the items do not always have direct links to each other, they are not always at the same level of detail, and they can belong to the past or the future of the current context. In addition, shared (or at least compatible) context was seen to be important between agents, although having the same view of the context is not enough. Each agent must know that other agents possess these views.

Patrick Brezillon
University of Paris VI
Roy Turner
Elise Turner
University of Maine