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Abstract

Existing studies show that extracting a complete keyphrase candidate set is the first and crucial step to extract high quality keyphrases from documents. Based on a common sense that words do not repeatedly appear in an effective keyphrase, we propose a novel algorithm named KCSP for document-specific keyphrase candidate search using sequential pattern mining with gap constraints, which only needs to scan a document once and automatically specifies appropriate gap constraints for words without users’ participation. The experimental results confirm that it helps improve the quality of keyphrase extraction.

Introduction

A keyphrase is a list of words that capture a main topic discussed in a document, which can help understand the main points of this document (Liu et al. 2012). Keyphrases have been successfully used in many text mining tasks, such as topic extraction and document summarization. Many approaches have been proposed to discover high quality keyphrases from documents. To the best of our knowledge, all these approaches have a same first step, i.e., keyphrase candidate search. Searching a complete keyphrase candidate set that includes semantic relations in context is crucial to extract high quality keyphrases.

Previous works simply treated independent or contiguous high frequency words as keyphrase candidates. However, no matter how many contiguous words a candidate has, it still can be viewed as a high frequency word alone, and words alone ignore semantic relations in context. Since a keyphrase candidate is a list of words which can be treated as an independent pattern, Xie et al. (2014) proposed an efficient algorithm SPMW, which combines wildcards with sequential pattern mining to search keyphrase candidates. Comparing with frequent word based approaches, sequential pattern mining with wildcards can discover a richer keyphrase candidate set since wildcards provide gap constraints with a great flexibility for mining patterns to capture semantic relations (Agrawal and Srikant, 1995), which helps improve the quality of keyphrase extraction. However, repeated document scanning and manually setting gap constraints are two main weaknesses of sequential-pattern-mining-based approaches.

To solve the two weaknesses, on the basis of a common sense that words do not repeatedly appear in an effective keyphrase, we propose a novel algorithm called KCSP for document-specific keyphrase candidate search using sequential pattern mining with gap constraints. Besides, since many mechanisms based on TF-IDF have been shown to work well in practice (Liu et al. 2009 and Hasan et al. 2010), we propose another mechanism (pattern frequency with entropy) based on entropy and TF-IDF to extract keyphrases from a pattern set. That is, after we obtain a pattern set of a document using KCSP, we can use the new TF-IDF mechanism to extract keyphrase from the pattern set. Our approach is referred to as KeyRank hereafter.

Algorithm KCSP

The main steps of KCSP are shown as follows.

• Step 1: KCSP scans a document with length \( L \) from left to right once to obtain every word whose frequency is no less than the support threshold \( \text{min}_{\text{sup}} \), and together with its corresponding positions, puts them into an array called \( \text{WordArray} \). Any two adjacent positions \( \text{pos}_1 \) and \( \text{pos}_2 \) of a word \( w_1 \) can generate an interval \( \text{Range}[\text{pos}_1, \text{pos}_2] \), which is treated as a gap constraint of \( w_1 \) at position \( \text{pos}_1 \). Besides, since the last position of each word does not have a bigger adjacent position, KCSP uses \( L+1 \) instead.
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• Step 2: KCSP tries each word in WordArray one by one as the first word of a pattern. If word $w_1$ can be the first word of a pattern $P$, KCSP outputs $w_1$ as an independent pattern and calls Step 3 (with input $P$). When all words in WordArray have been tried, KCSP stops.
• Step 3: KCSP chooses a word $w_2$ from WordArray, and calls Step 4 to verify whether $P$ and $w_2$ could be concatenated as a new pattern $P_1$. If positive, KCSP calls Step 3 (with input $P_1$) recursively and outputs $P_1$ as an independent pattern; otherwise, KCSP calls Step 2.
• Step 4: KCSP lists all gap constraints of the last word of pattern $P$ to calculate how many positions of $w_2$ satisfy the gap constraints. If the number of positions of $w_2$ that satisfy gap constraints is no less than $\text{min\_sup}$, it means that $P$ and $w_2$ could be concatenated as a new pattern $P_1$.

Table 1. Example for KCSP interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>word</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>positions &amp; gap constraints</td>
<td>Range [1,3]</td>
<td>Range [2,4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Range [3,5]</td>
<td>Range [4,5]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we use a simple example to briefly explain how KCSP works. Given an ordered sequence database $T=ctct$ with length $L=4$, and $\text{min\_sup}=2$. We can easily get positions (starting from 1) and corresponding gap constraints of words $c$ and $t$, listed in each column of Table 1. Since word $c$ has the minimum position, the search process starts from $c$. As $c$’s frequency is 2, which equals $\text{min\_sup}$, it can be the first word of a pattern, and meanwhile itself is an independent pattern. Then, KCSP calculates all positions of $t$ (shown in the third column in Table 1) to see if they could satisfy any gap constraint of $c$ (shown in the second column in Table 1). Position 2 of $t$ satisfies Range[1,3] of $c$, and position 4 of $t$ satisfies Range[3,5] of $c$. Hence, the number of positions of $t$ that satisfy gap constraints of $c$ is 2, which equals $\text{min\_sup}$. KCSP concatenates $c$ and $t$ as a new pattern $ct$. Besides, since $t$’s frequency equals $\text{min\_sup}$, KCSP outputs $t$ as an independent pattern too. Finally, KCSP finds three patterns, i.e., $c$, $t$, and $ct$.

Experiments

To investigate the performance of KeyRank, we conduct experiments on a dataset SemEval-2010, which contains 244 articles (144 for training and 100 for testing). We compare KeyRank with two popular approaches, Kea (Witten et al. 1999) and TextRank (Mihalcea et al. 2004). Besides, precision ($P$ in short), recall ($R$ in short), and the $F_1$ score are used as the performance metrics of keyphrase extraction:

\[
P = \frac{\text{#correct}}{\text{#extracted}}
\]

\[
R = \frac{\text{#correct}}{\text{#labeled}}
\]

\[
F_1 = 2 \times \frac{P \times R}{P + R}
\]

where $\text{#correct}$ denotes the number of correctly extracted keyphrases, $\text{#extracted}$ denotes the number of extracted keyphrases, and $\text{#labeled}$ denotes the number of labeled keyphrases.

Experimental results (with respect to different numbers of keyphrases, from 3 to 25) are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Both figures show that our approach KeyRank performs the best, followed by Kea. Both KeyRank and Kea significantly perform better than TextRank.

![Figure 1. The precisions & recalls of KeyRank, Kea, and TextRank](image1)

![Figure 2. The $F_1$ scores of KeyRank, Kea, and TextRank](image2)

Conclusion

Based on a common sense that words do not repeatedly appear in an effective keyphrase, we propose a novel algorithm called KCSP for document-specific keyphrase candidate search using sequential pattern mining with gap constraints. The experiments confirm that it helps improve the quality of keyphrase extraction.
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