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Abstract
Webpage classification has attracted a lot of research interest. Webpage data is often multi-view and high-dimensional, and the webpage classification application is usually semi-supervised. Due to these characteristics, using semi-supervised multi-view feature learning (SMFL) technique to deal with the webpage classification problem has recently received much attention. However, there still exists room for improvement for this kind of feature learning technique. How to effectively utilize the correlation information among multi-view of webpage data is an important research topic. Correlation analysis on multi-view data can facilitate extraction of the complementary information. In this paper, we propose a novel SMFL approach, named semi-supervised multi-view correlation feature learning (SMCFL), for webpage classification. SMCFL seeks for a discriminant common space by learning a multi-view shared transformation in a semi-supervised manner. In the discriminant space, the correlation between intra-class samples is maximized, and the correlation between inter-class samples and the global correlation among both labeled and unlabeled samples are minimized simultaneously. We transform the matrix-variable based nonconvex objective function of SMCFL into a convex quadratic programming problem with one real variable, and can achieve a global optimal solution. Experiments on widely used datasets demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed approach.

Introduction
The last several years have witnessed a rapid increase of information available on the World Wide Web, making it difficult to find web pages that contain the information in which one is interested. In order to make an effective and efficient search, we need to classify webpages. Webpage classification refers to the problem of assigning a webpage a class that describes its contents (Qi and Davison 2009; Gollapalli et al. 2013). As stated in (Jing et al. 2015), webpage classification has three characteristics: (1) Webpage is a kind of multi-view data (Wang and Zhou 2008; Zhang et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2013; Kan et al. 2016), since it usually contains two or more types of data, e.g., text, hyperlinks and images, where each type of data can be regarded as a view. These multiple views describe the same webpage. (2) Webpage classification is a semi-supervised application (Zhou et al. 2007; Zhu and Goldberg 2009), since labeled pages are harder to collect compared to unlabeled pages in practice. (3) Webpage data is high-dimensional, since webpages usually contain much information. Considering these three characteristics, it is crucial to design effective semi-supervised multi-view feature learning (SMFL) methods for webpage classification. To our knowledge, two webpage classification methods taking these three characteristics into account have been developed, namely semi-paired and semi-supervised generalized correlation analysis (SSGCA) (Chen et al. 2012) and uncorrelated semi-supervised intra-view and inter-view manifold discriminant (USI²MD) (Jing et al. 2015).

For other applications, a few SMFL methods have also been presented, such as multi-view metric learning with global consistency and local smoothness (MVML-GL) (Zhai et al. 2012), vector-valued reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (VRKHS) (Minh et al. 2013), multi-view hypergraph learning (MHL) (Hong et al. 2013), semi-supervised multi-view canonical correlation analysis based on label propagation (LPbSMCCA) (Shen and Sun 2014), and manifold-regularized semi-supervised kernel canonical correlation analysis (MR-skCCA) (Volpi et al. 2014).

Motivation and Contribution
The correlation information from inter-view and intra-view
Figure 1. Sample distribution of 40 webpage samples in the WebKB dataset, where red and blue colors denote two views, and markers ◦ and △ denote sample points from two classes.

depicts the association relationship among multiple views, which has close connection with classification (Li et al. 2009; Jing et al. 2014). Here, “inter-view” and “intra-view” mean the relationship between samples across different views and within a certain view, respectively. The inter-view correlation contains the within-class and between-class correlation of samples across different views. And the intra-view correlation contains the within-class and between-class correlation of samples within the same view.

Taking the WebKB dataset (Chen et al. 2012) as an example, we randomly select 10 webpage samples of each class in the link and page views, and perform the principal component analysis (PCA) (Turk and Pentland 1991) transformation to obtain two major principal components of each sample for plotting the sample distribution in Fig. 1. From the figure, samples of different views own small correlation, and between-class samples within each view own relatively large correlation. We thus should maximize the correlation of samples from different views while in the same class, and minimize the correlation of samples in the same view while from different classes, to make the distribution more favorable for classification. Therefore, it is necessary to perform correlation analysis on webpage data, which can help us learn features with favorable separability.

Current SMFL methods have following drawbacks:

(1) Most of existing SMFL methods (except SSSGCA, LPbSMCCA and MR-skCCA) do not consider the correlation information.

(2) For SSSGCA, LPbSMCCA and MR-skCCA, there exists much room to improve their discriminant abilities. Specifically, SSSGCA does not explore the intra-view correlation information. It maximizes the cross-view correlation without differently treating the within-class and between-class correlation. In addition, SSSGCA can only be applied to the problems involving two views. LPbSMCCA does not explore the intra-view correlation information either, and it only maximizes the inter-view within-class correlation without considering the inter-view between-class correlation. MR-skCCA simply maximizes the correlation from both intra-view and inter-view, which cannot make full use of the discriminant correlation from the aspect of classification.

Therefore, how to effectively explore the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information to improve the webpage classification performance is an important research topic. We summarize the contributions of our study as following three points:

(1) We propose a novel SMFL approach for webpage classification, which is named semi-supervised multi-view correlation feature learning (SMCFL). The objective function of SMCFL is designed to maximize the correlation between intra-class samples, and minimize the correlation between inter-class samples and the global correlation among both labeled and unlabeled samples. SMCFL can thus effectively explore the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information.

(2) We transform the matrix-variable based nonconvex objective function of SMCFL into a convex quadratic programming problem with one real variable. The solution is global optimal and can be derived analytically without iterative calculation. To our knowledge, we are the first to present this kind of solution, which can be applied to other correlation-based feature learning problems.

(3) SMCFL is verified on two widely used webpage datasets. The experimental results show that it can significantly outperform state-of-the-art webpage classification methods.

Related Work

Semi-supervised Multi-view Feature Learning

In recent years, a few SMFL methods have been developed (Xu et al. 2016). MVML-GL (Zhai et al. 2012) jointly considers the global consistency and local smoothness, and formulates metric learning as a convex optimization problem for solution. VRKHIS (Minh et al. 2013) gives a general formulation for the problem of learning an unknown functional dependency between a structured input space and a structured output space under the semi-supervised setting. MHL (Hong et al. 2013) uses hypergraph to represent the relationships among samples and applies the constructed hypergraph to semi-supervised dimensionality reduction of multi-view data. LPbSMCCA (Shen and Sun 2014) firstly estimates the labels for the unlabeled samples using sparse representation based label propagation strategy, and then seeks projection directions by considering the inter-view correlation and intra-view compactness of samples from the same class. MR-skCCA (Volpi et al. 2014) exploits both the labels and unlabeled pixels into the computation of cross-correlation, and employs multispectral kernel canonical correlation analysis (CCA) algorithm to learn transformation for hyperspectral image classification.

These SMFL methods are not applied to the webpage classification task and cannot fully explore the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information.
Webpage Classification

In the last decade, several webpage classification methods have been presented (Du et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2015). (Zhang et al. 2008) designs a multi-view local model for each example and presents a multi-view local learning regularization matrix method. (Kim et al. 2009) presents a semi-supervised learning method, which leverages click logs to augment training data by propagating class labels to unlabeled similar documents. (Wang et al. 2011) addresses a nonnegative matrix tri-factorization based dual knowledge transfer algorithm for cross-language webpage classification. Two-view transductive SVM (TTSVM) (Li et al. 2012) uses sufficient unlabeled data and their multiple representations to improve classification performance. (Bing et al. 2014) performs webpage segmentation with structured prediction for webpage classification.

Most of current webpage classification methods do not consider all three characteristics of webpage classification.

SMFL for Webpage Classification

To our knowledge, only two SMFL webpage classification methods have been addressed. SSGCA (Chen et al. 2012) makes as maximal correlation as possible on paired data by performing CCA, with preserving the global structural information of unlabeled data and the local discriminative information of labeled data. USI^2MD (Jing et al. 2015) combines the semi-supervised intra-view and inter-view manifold discriminant schema with semi-supervised uncorrelation constraint for webpage classification.

The differences between SSGCA, USI^2MD and our approach are: USI^2MD does not consider the correlation among multiple views and SSGCA does not explore the intra-view correlation information, while our SMCFL can effectively utilize the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information.

Semi-supervised Multi-view Correlation Feature Learning (SMCFL)

The Objective Function of SMCFL

Suppose that \( X' = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_C\} \) is the labeled training webpage sample set from \( C \) classes, where each \( X_i (i = 1, \ldots, C) \) contains webpage samples of \( M \) views and \( x_{ip}^s \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1} \) denotes the \( p^{th} \) webpage sample from the \( s^{th} \) view of the \( i^{th} \) class. Here, \( d \) denotes the dimensionality of samples. Assume that \( l_i^s \) denotes the number of samples from the \( s^{th} \) view and the \( i^{th} \) class, and \( l_i = \sum_{s=1}^{M} l_i^s \) denotes the number of samples in the \( i^{th} \) class. Let \( X' \) be the unlabeled training sample set, \( X = \{X', X''\} \), and \( N \) denote the total sample number in \( X \). For simplicity of representation, we regard \( X'' \) as the \((C+1)^{th}\) class.

We aim to learn a discriminant projection transformation \( W \) that can project samples from \( M \) views to one discriminant common space, where the correlation between intra-class samples is maximized, while the correlation between inter-class samples and the global correlation among both labeled and unlabeled samples are minimized simultaneously. We define the within-class correlation \( S_w \), between-class correlation \( S_b \) and total correlation \( S_t \) as follows:

\[
S_w = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s\|^2 ,
\]

\[
S_b = \frac{2}{C(C-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s\|^2 ,
\]

\[
S_t = \frac{1}{(C+1)C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s\|^2 + \frac{1}{C+1} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s W W^T x_{jq}^s\|^2 .
\]

Then, the objective function of SMCF is defined as

\[
\max_W f(W) = S_w - r_1S_b - r_2S_t .
\]

where \( r_1 > 0 \) and \( r_2 > 0 \) are weight coefficients. We set \( H = W W^T \) and \( \|x_{ip}^s\| = 1 \) (\( i, s, p \)), where \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the \( l_2 \)-norm of a vector. Obviously, \( H \) should be symmetric and positive semi-definite, i.e., \( H = H^T \) and \( H \succeq 0 \). We relax (4) into the following formulation:

\[
\max_W f(H) = S'_w - r_1S'_b - r_2S'_t ,
\]

where \( S'_w, S'_b, \) and \( S'_t \) are separately defined as follows:

\[
S'_w = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s\|^2 ,
\]

\[
S'_b = \frac{1}{C(C-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s\|^2 ,
\]

\[
S'_t = \frac{1}{2(C+1)C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s\|^2 + \frac{1}{C+1} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1}^{C} \frac{1}{l_i^s l_j^s} \sum_{p=1}^{C} \sum_{q=1}^{C} \sum_{r=1}^{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s \|x_{ip}^s H x_{jq}^s\|^2 .
\]
It is noted that for the transformation from (1) to (6), a similar transformation trick can be found in (Szedmak et al. 2007). As a result, (5) can be further translated into

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \| \hat{H} \| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1, \quad H = H^T, \quad H \geq 0,
\]

where

\[
B = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \left[ \frac{1}{l_f^i} \sum_{j=1}^{l_f^i} \sum_{k=1}^{l_p^j} \sum_{q=1}^{l_q^k} x_{ijk}^T H x_{ijk} \right].
\]

By applying the Lagrangian technique to constrained optimization problem, we define the Lagrange function as

\[
L(H, \varepsilon, \alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 + \eta \varepsilon - \alpha(B - 1 + \varepsilon) - \mu \varepsilon,
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \mu \) are Lagrangian multipliers. By making the derivatives with respect to \( H \) and \( \varepsilon \) equal to zeros, we can obtain

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial H} = H - \alpha R = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varepsilon} = \eta - \alpha - \mu = 0.
\]

We can simplify (9) as

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \| \hat{H} \| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1, \quad H = H^T, \quad H \geq 0.
\]

which can be expressed as the following convex quadratic programming problem

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1.
\]

To make the solution of (11) robust, we introduce the slack variable \( \varepsilon \geq 0 \) to relax the corresponding constraint. With such a relaxation, (11) is reformulated as

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 + \eta \varepsilon \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \geq 0,
\]

where \( \eta \) is a regularization parameter.

By applying the Lagrangian technique to constrained optimization problem, we define the Lagrange function as

\[
L(H, \varepsilon, \alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 + \eta \varepsilon - \alpha(B - 1 + \varepsilon) - \mu \varepsilon,
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \mu \) are Lagrangian multipliers. By making the derivatives with respect to \( H \) and \( \varepsilon \) equal to zeros, we can obtain

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial H} = H - \alpha R = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \varepsilon} = \eta - \alpha - \mu = 0,
\]

where

\[
R = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \left[ \frac{1}{l_f^i} \sum_{j=1}^{l_f^i} \sum_{k=1}^{l_p^j} \sum_{q=1}^{l_q^k} x_{ijk}^T H x_{ijk} \right] - \frac{r_1}{C(C-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{C} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{C} \left[ \frac{1}{l_f^i} \sum_{k=1}^{l_p^j} \sum_{q=1}^{l_q^k} x_{ijk}^T H x_{ijk} \right] \quad . \ (15)
\]

Thus, we can simplify (9) as

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \| \hat{H} \| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1, \quad H = H^T, \quad H \geq 0 .
\]

which can be expressed as the following convex quadratic programming problem

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1 .
\]

To achieve the solution of Formula (9), we design the following optimization scheme, which can obtain an analytical and global optimal solution.

We provisionally leave the constraints \( H = H^T \) and \( H \geq 0 \) off (Note that we will show that \( H \) is symmetric in the following derivation, namely in Eqs. (15) and (17); and we make \( H \) positive semi-definite in Eq. (22) or (23)).

Thus, we can simplify (9) as

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \| \hat{H} \| \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1,
\]

which can be expressed as the following convex quadratic programming problem

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1 .
\]

which can be expressed as the following convex quadratic programming problem

\[
\min_{\hat{H}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{H} \|^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad B \geq 1 .
\]

The corresponding Karush-Kuhn-Tucher (KKT) conditions (Chen et al. 2011) are

\[
\alpha (B - 1 + \varepsilon) = 0, \quad \mu \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \geq 0.
\]

According to (14), we obtain

\[
H = \alpha R.
\]

It can be easily proved that \( R \) is a symmetric matrix, and thus \( H = \alpha R \) is symmetric. Then, we can get

\[
B = \text{tr} \left( H R^T \right),
\]

where \( \text{tr} \left( \cdot \right) \) denotes the trace of a square matrix.

Substituting Eqs. (14), (17) and (18) into (13), we obtain its Wolfe dual objective as follows

\[
D L(H, \varepsilon, \alpha, \mu) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \text{tr} \left( RR^T \right) + \alpha.
\]

Hence, to get the solution of (12) is equivalent to solving the following optimization problem:

\[
\max_{\alpha} \alpha - \frac{A}{2} \alpha^2,
\]

where \( A = \text{tr} \left( RR^T \right) \) is positive. (20) is a convex quadratic programming problem. If \( \eta \geq 1/A \), the solution of (20) is \( \alpha^* = 1/A \); otherwise, the solution is \( \alpha^* = \eta \).

Finally, substituting \( \alpha \) into (17), we can get \( H \). To obtain the projective transformation matrix \( W \), \( H \) is eigendecomposed as

\[
H = U \Lambda U^T, \quad (21)
\]

where \( \Lambda \) is a diagonal eigenvalue matrix of \( H \), and \( U \) is an orthogonal matrix whose columns correspond to the eigenvectors of \( H \).

If \( H \) is positive semi-definite, we can obtain \( W \) by

\[
W = U \sqrt{\Lambda} . \quad (22)
\]

When \( H \) is not positive semi-definite, namely some eigenvalues of \( H \) are negative, like the solution trick in (Ma et al. 2007), we select the positive eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors to construct a new diagonal matrix \( \Lambda_+ \), and a new orthogonal matrix \( U_+ \), respectively. Then we can obtain \( W \) by

\[
W = U_+ \sqrt{\Lambda_+} . \quad (23)
\]

Let \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_M \) be \( M \) views of a given query sample
and \( \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \ldots, \hat{X}_M \) be \( M \) views of labeled training samples, where each \( \hat{X}_i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, M)\) contains \( C \) classes. With the obtained transformation matrix \( W \), we achieve the projected features of training sample set and query sample separately by \( Z_i^t = W^T \hat{X}_i \) and \( z_i^t = W^T y_i \) for each view. Then, we use the following strategy to fuse these features:

\[
Z^t = [Z_1^{tT}, Z_2^{tT}, \ldots, Z_M^{tT}]^T \quad \text{and} \quad Z^s = [Z_1^{sT}, Z_2^{sT}, \ldots, Z_M^{sT}]^T \, .
\]

Finally, we use the nearest neighbor classifier with the cosine distance to classify \( Z^t \).

Algorithm 1 summarizes the proposed SMCFL approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm 1. SMCFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 3.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 4.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 5.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Time Complexity Analysis**

The main computational burden of SMCFL consists of the matrix calculation for \( R \) and the eigen-decomposition problem in (21). Thus, the time complexity of SMCFL is \( O(d^3 + N^2 d) \). As reported in (Jing et al. 2015; Volpi et al. 2014), the time complexities of representative SMFL methods including MVML-GL, VRKHS, MR-skCCA, SSGCA and USI2MD are \( O(N_1^3 + (Md)^3 N_2) \), \( O((MN)^3 + M^2 N^2 d) \), \( O(N_1^3 + d^2 N) \), \( O((Md)^3 + MN^2 d) \) and \( O((Md)^3 + M^2 N^2 d) \), respectively. Here, \( N_2 \) represents the number of labeled training samples.

It is obvious that the time complexity of our SMCFL approach is smaller than those of SSGCA and USI2MD. Whether the time complexity of our approach is lower than those of MVML-GL, VRKHS or MR-skCCA is mainly determined by the values of \( N_2 \), \( N \) and \( d \).

**Experiments**

**Data Set**

In this paper, we evaluate our approach on two widely used datasets, namely WebKB (Chen et al. 2012) and Internet advertisements (Kushmerick 1999).

The WebKB dataset contains 1051 webpages from two classes (230 pages in the course class and 821 pages in the non-course class). Each webpage is characterized by the page view and the link view. We use a preprocessed version of this dataset, where 3000-dimensional and 1840-dimensional original features are extracted from the page view and link view of a webpage, respectively.

The Internet advertisements (AD for short) dataset includes 3279 samples containing 458 advertisements and 2821 non-advertisement samples. Like in (Jing et al. 2015), we utilize a preprocessed version of this dataset, where each sample is regarded as a binary vector with quite large sparsity and consists of 1558 original features. Three views that have similar numbers of features are used for experiment, including 495 base URL features, 472 destination URL features and 457 image URL features.

**Compared Methods and Experimental Settings**

In experiment, we compare our SMCFL with six state-of-the-art related methods, including three SMFL methods, i.e., MVML-GL (Zhai et al. 2012), VRKHS (Minh et al. 2013) and MR-skCCA (Volpi et al. 2014); and three webpage classification methods, i.e., SSGCA (Chen et al. 2012), TTSVM (Li et al. 2012) and USI2MD (Jing et al. 2015). We also compare SMCFL with a representative unsupervised multi-view feature learning method, i.e., multi-view canonical correlation analysis (MCCA) (Li et al. 2009). Expectation Maximization (EM) based algorithm is an important kind of the semi-supervised learning method (Nigam et al. 2000; McLachlan and Krishnan 2007; Saluja et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2016). Here, we also compare our SMCFL with EM (Nigam et al. 2006). And the view with the best classification accuracy (Jing et al. 2015) is used for EM.

For each dataset, we randomly select 50% samples per class to construct the training set and use the remaining samples for testing. We further randomly select a certain percentage of the training samples as the labeled samples and regard the remaining training samples as unlabeled ones for semi-supervised learning. For the unsupervised method MCCA, we use all training samples (both labeled and unlabeled samples) for its training. For SSGCA and TTSVM, we utilize two views with the best classification accuracy for them in the AD dataset, since these two methods only apply to two views based learning problem.

Like in (Jing et al. 2015), we employ the PCA method (Turk and Pentland 1991) to reduce the samples’ dimensionalities of different views to 1050 for WebKB and 456 for AD. For our SMCFL, the parameters \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) in (5) and \( \eta \) in (12) are determined by using 5-fold cross validation technique on training data. Concretely, we set \( r_1 = r_2 = 0.01 \) and \( \eta = 1 \) for WebKB, and \( r_1 = r_2 = 0.1 \) and \( \eta = 1 \) for AD. The evaluation measures including classification accuracy (CA) (Jing et al. 2015) and F-measure (Banerjee and Pedersen 2003) are used to evaluate the
classification performances.

**Evaluation of Classification Results**

We evaluate the classification results of our SMCFL approach with the percentage of labeled training samples increasing from 10% to 90%. Figs. 2 and 3 separately illustrate the average classification accuracies and F-measure values of all compared methods across 20 random running on two datasets. Table 1 reports the average results (across 9 percentages) corresponding to Figs. 2 and 3. From Fig. 2, SMCFL significantly outperforms all the other competing methods with regard to classification accuracy in all cases. On average, SMCFL improves the classification accuracy at least by 12.47% on WebKB and 9.15% on AD. According to Fig. 3, SMCFL always obtains better F-measure results than other methods. On average, SMCFL improves the F-measure value at least by 0.08 on WebKB and 0.07 on AD. This illustrates that SMCFL can well balance the classification effects of positive (minority) class and negative (majority) class. The main reason for the obvious improvement lies in that SMCFL fully and effectively utilizes the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information.

To statistically analyze the classification results shown in Figs. 2 and 3, we conduct a statistical test, i.e., Mcnemar’s test (Yambor et al. 2002), at the confidence level of 95%. If the p-value is below 0.05, the performance difference between two compared methods is statistically significant. Table 2 shows the p-values between SMCFL and other methods on measures of classification accuracy and F-measure.

Table 3. Average training time (seconds) of all compared methods on two datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>EM</th>
<th>MCCA</th>
<th>MVML-GL</th>
<th>VRKHS</th>
<th>MR-skCCA</th>
<th>SSGCA</th>
<th>TTSVM</th>
<th>USI^MD</th>
<th>SMCFL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WebKB</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>154.72</td>
<td>21.45</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

results than other methods. On average, SMCFL improves the F-measure value at least by 0.08 on WebKB and 0.07 on AD. This illustrates that SMCFL can well balance the classification effects of positive (minority) class and negative (majority) class. The main reason for the obvious improvement lies in that SMCFL fully and effectively utilizes the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information.

To statistically analyze the classification results shown in Figs. 2 and 3, we conduct a statistical test, i.e., Mcnemar’s test (Yambor et al. 2002), at the confidence level of 95%. If the p-value is below 0.05, the performance difference between two compared methods is statistically significant. Table 2 shows the p-values between SMCFL and other methods on two measures. According to the table,
the proposed approach makes a statistically significant difference as compared with other methods. Besides the WebKB and AD datasets, we also evaluated our proposed approach on the practical and large-scale Open Directory Project (ODP) (http://www.dmoz.org) dataset. The experimental results indicated that our SMCFL outperforms the competing methods. Since using the experimental data downloaded in different time from dmoz.org may generate different classification results, in this paper, we only report the detailed experimental results on WebKB and AD.

Evaluation of Running Time

In Table 3, we take the semi-supervised learning case that 20 percent of labeled training samples are used as an example, and report the average training time of compared methods across 20 runs. It is noted that TTSVM itself is a classifier requiring no training. Since the testing time of all compared methods is close to each other, we do not report the testing time. Our hardware configuration comprises a 2.93-GHz CPU and 8GB RAM. We can see that SMCFM costs less training time than semi-supervised multi-view feature learning methods VRKH and MR-skCCA, and webpage classification methods SSGCA and USFMD.

Parameter Analysis

Our approach involves three parameters including \( \eta_1 \), \( \eta_2 \) and \( \eta_3 \). We found that changing \( \eta_3 \) would not affect the results that much and we set it as 1. Here, we show that the performance of SMCFM is not sensitive when \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are sampled in some value ranges. For these two parameters, we search the following parameter space \( \{10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 10^0, 10^1, 10^2, 10^3\} \}. \) Fig. 4 illustrates the classification accuracies of our approach versus different values of \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) on WebKB with 20 percent of labeled training samples. We can see that the performances of our approach are stable with respect to the parameters in the range of \( [10^{-3}, 10^3] \). For simplicity, we set \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) as 0.01 on WebKB. A similar phenomenon also exists on AD dataset.

![Classification accuracy versus \( \eta_1 \) or \( \eta_2 \) on WebKB.](image)

Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel semi-supervised multi-view feature learning approach named SMCFM for webpage classification. It can effectively explore the intra-view and inter-view discriminant correlation information. The objective function is converted into a convex quadratic programming problem, and we can obtain a global optimal solution analytically.

Experimental results on two public webpage datasets demonstrate that SMCFM significantly outperforms several state-of-the-art SMFL and webpage classification methods with respect to classification accuracy and F-measure. The experimental results also indicate the efficiency of the training procedure of our approach.
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